

Proposal Title :	Narrabri LEP 2012 (Amendmer from RU1 to R5 and amend the		'01588, 223 Kaputar Road, Narrabri )ha to 2ha.	
Proposal Summary :	Narrabri LEP 2012 (Amendment No 4) - Rezone Lot 6 DP 701588, 223 Kaputar Road, Narrabri from RU 1 to R5 and amend the minimum lot size from 100ha to 2ha.			
PP Number :	PP_2014_NARRB_004_00	Dop File No :	14/12483	
roposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	28-Jul-2014	LGA covered :	Narrabri	
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Narrabri Shire Council	
State Electorate :	BARWON	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 22	3 Kaputar Road			
Suburb :	City :	Narrabri	Postcode : 2390	
Land Parcel : Lo	t 6 DP 701588			
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Gina Davis			
Contact Number :	0267019687			
Contact Email :	gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a	au		
<b>RPA Contact Deta</b>	nils			
Contact Name :	Hamish McTaggart			
Contact Number :	0267996863			
Contact Email :	hamishm@narrabri.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Dat	a			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strateg	y: N/A	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	20.00		54 N//A
Area of Release (Ha) :	30.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	12
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	communications and mee Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the	ng and Environment's Code of Pra tings with lobbyists has been com Northern Region has not met with Northern Region been advised of I lobbyists concerning the propos	plied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
Have there been	No		
meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :			
If Yes, comment			Barris I BA
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	10		
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		a name and provide the
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		100 C 10 C 10 C 10 C
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	•	nded outcomes of the Planning P dment to Narrabri LEP 2012.	roposal are adequately expressed
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2	?)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The Planning Proposal the objectives and inter	provides a clear explanation of th nded outcomes.	e intended provisions to achieve
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)		15.57 % 4
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the Dire	ector General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones	
* May need the Director	r General's agreement	1.5 Rural Lands 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Prote	ction
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required? Ye	es	
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) Orde	er 2006 : <b>Yes</b>	
d) Which SEPPs have t	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of La SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008	nd

e) List any other The New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Narrabri matters that need to LGA. be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : The Planning Proposal has included identification, zoning and lot size maps that clearly show the subject land to be rezoned. The mapping is considered to be adequate for exhibition purposes. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Council considers the proposal as being relatively low impact. This is considered appropriate and a 14 day exhibition period is recommended. **Additional Director General's requirements** Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes; 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes: 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal; 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and 5. Providing a project time line. Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the Planning Proposal deals only with matters of local significance, it is considered appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to

Council's project timeline has outlined a 6 month timeframe for the Planning Proposal with the LEP expected to be ready for submission to the Department for notification by February 2015. In order to ensure adequate time to complete the LEP it is recommended that a 9 month timeframe be given.

## **Proposal Assessment**

**Principal LEP:** 

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

Narrabri LEP was made in December 2012.

Council.

ssessment Criteria	a
Need for planning proposal :	The proposal to amend the LEP is not the subject of a specific strategic study or report. Council has resolved to seek the rezoning of the land to R5 Large Lot Residential with a 2ha MLS due to landowner interest and to help provide increased housing choice in the area.
	The subject land is currently zoned RU1 with a MLS of 100ha, despite the subject land only being approximately 30ha in area. The Planning Proposal aims to rezone the land and reduce the MLS to 2ha. This would equate to approximnately 12 lots and would directly mirror the zoning and development potential of the land adjoining its southern and eastern boundaries.
	It is noted that Council included the subject land for rezoning in Narrabri LEP 2012 post exhibition. The Minister's delegate in making the plan however amended the zoning and lot size maps consistent with the exhibited plan and advised Council that this change was too significant post exhibition without further community consultation. The Minister's delegate also recommended that should Council wish to pursue the rezoning it could be done through a separate Planning Proposal.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Whilst no Regional Strategies apply to the Narrabrì LGA, the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan does apply. The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the Regional Land Use Plan.
	The Planning Proposal has been identified as being consistent with all applicable SEPPs and section 117 Directions except the following;
	1.2 Rural Zones This Direction applies as the draft LEP will rezone rural land to a residential zone. The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance as the subject land is already considerably below the MLS for the rural zone and will be consistent with the subdivision development potential of land ajoining to the south and east. It is also noted that the land will be located closer to services and facilities than much of the existing R5 land in the area and will also help to create housing choice and bring greater competition between landowners of rural residential parcels with development potential to deliver this land to the market.
	1.5 Rural Land/SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 This Direction applies as the draft LEP will change the minimum lot size (MLS) of land within a rural zone. The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it will increase the fragmentation of existing rural land by reducing the MLS to 2ha. The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance as the subject land is not currently used or is likely to be used for agriculture, directly adjoins existing land zoned R5, is in reasonable proximity to the township of Narrabri and will provide greater housing choice for the community.
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is identified as bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The subject land has not been identified as being flood prone and is characterised mostly of cleared grazing land with some small pockets of remnant vegetation. It is not anticipated that the Planning Proposal will create any significant adverse environmental, social or economic impacts. Council has also undertaken a preliminary contamination assessment of the land and has not identified any past activities likely to have led to any contamination of the land.

Pitting       Pitting         Timeframe to make       9 months       D         LEP :       Public Authority       NSW Rural Fire Service         Consultation - 56(2)       (d) :       No         Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?       No         (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?       Yes         If no, provide reasons :       Yes         Resubmission - \$56(2)(b) : No       If Yes, reasons :         Identify any additional studies, if required. :       If Other, provide reasons :         Identify any internal consultations, if required :       No internal consultation required         Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the if Yes, reasons :       If Yes, reasons :         Documents       Document File Name         Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf       Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf         Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf       Location and Zoning Map.pdf         Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar       Patter	ommunity Consultation eriod : elegation :	14 Days RPA	
LEP : Public Authority NSW Rural Fire Service Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to t If Yes, reasons : Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar		RPA	
Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No (2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to t If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar			
<ul> <li>(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes</li> <li>If no, provide reasons :</li> <li>Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No</li> <li>If Yes, reasons :</li> <li>Identify any additional studies, if required. :</li> <li>If Other, provide reasons :</li> <li>Identify any internal consultations, if required :</li> <li>No internal consultation required</li> <li>Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the file Name</li> <li>Courceil Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf</li> <li>Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf</li> <li>Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf</li> <li>Location and Zoning Map.pdf</li> <li>Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar</li> </ul>			
If no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	-is also 2 No		
If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to t If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	ria aleg 2 No	0. 2000 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	
If Yes, reasons : Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to t If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	ria aleg 2 No	10 S	
Identify any additional studies, if required. : If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : <b>No internal consultation required</b> Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to t If Yes, reasons : <b>Documents</b> Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	sia alag 2 Na	14	
If Other, provide reasons : Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	sia alag 2 Na		
Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	sia alago Na		
No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	sia alago Na		
No internal consultation required Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	ia alas 2 No		
Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to the lif Yes, reasons :  Documents  Document File Name  Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	is slar 2 No		
If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	in sland No		
If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	ns plan ? No		
Documents Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar			
Document File Name Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar			
Council Minute - 3 June 2014.pdf Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	29		
Att 4 Eval Criteria_completed.pdf Cover Letter (vA3774461).pdf Location and Zoning Map.pdf Draft Planning Proposal Lot 6 DP 701588 - 223 Kaputar	DocumentType Na	ime Is	s Public
Road, Narrabri.pdf	Proposal Proposal Proposal Coverin Map Proposal	Y g Letter Y Y	res res res res res
lanning Team Recommendation			
Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage :	Peesmanded with Con	ditions	
	Kecommended with Com		
S.117 directions: <b>1.2 Rural Zones</b> <b>1.5 Rural Lands</b> <b>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protect</b>			
Additional Information : It is recommended that:	on		

- 1. The Planning Proposal be supported;
- 2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days;
   3. The Planning Proposal be completed within 9 months;

- -	accordance with the require 5. That the Director Genera 117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zo significance; 6. That the Director Genera 117 Direction 4.4 Planning f	ements of S117 Dir I (or his delegate) a nes and 1.5 Rural I I (or his delegate) r for Bushfire Protec be resolved prior to	er of the NSW Rural Fire Service in rection 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; agree that the inconsistencies with section Lands as the proposal are of minor note the current inconsistency with section ction and that it is anticipated this to the proposal being finalised; and n be issued to Council.
Supporting Reasons :	• •		ties for rural lifestyle, settlement and economic welfare of the community.
	Ν	>	
Signature:	- W	<u> </u>	
Printed Name:	Crung Diss	Date:	4 8/2014
			*